

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/662,971	09/15/2003	Thomas F. Papallo	138562	1096
Poul D. Graela	7590 01/30/200	EXAMINER		
Paul D. Greeley, Esq. Ohlandt, Greeley, Ruggiero & Perle, L.L.P.			WILLOUGHBY, TERRENCE RONIQUE	
10th Floor One Landmark Square			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Stamford, CT 06901-2682			2836	
SHORTENED STATUTO	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/30/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Application No.					
Office Action Summary	10/662,971	PAPALLO ET AL.				
emee near cannary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAIL INC DATE of this communication and	Terrence R. Willoughby	2836				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Oc	ctober 2006.					
	action is non-final.					
· <u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
· <u> </u>						
4) Claim(s) 1-59 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-59</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's amendment filed on October 16, 2006 has been entered. Accordingly Claims 1,12,13,32 and 46 have been amended and Claims 22-31,45, and 58 have been cancelled. No new claims were added. Thus, Claims 1-21, 32-44, 46-57, and 59 remain pending in the present application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-11 and 32-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable over by Qin et al. (6,411,865).

Regarding claim 1, Qin et al. (Figs. 9-11) discloses the claimed method of protecting a circuit having power switching devices, the method comprising: a defining characteristics of a zone of protection of the circuit; defining a protection matrix based at least in part upon said characteristics; and performing a zone protective function on said zone of protection using said protection matrix (Abstract and col. 8, II. 23-38), wherein said protection matrix comprises a matrix of protection coefficients used by said protection function (col. 6, II. 51-64).

Application/Control Number: 10/662,971

Art Unit: 2836

Regarding claims 2 and 38, Qin et al. discloses the claimed said method of claims 1 and 32, wherein said zone protective functions is a plurality of zone protective functions, each of said plurality of zone protective functions being performed on said zone of protection based at least in part upon said protection matrix (col. 3, II. 41-52).

Regarding claims 3 and 39, Qin et al. discloses the claimed said method of claims 1 and 32, wherein said zone protective is based at least in part upon electrical parameters (col. 2,ll. 23-32) of said zone of protection, said electrical parameter being communicated over a network (col. 1, ll. 13-16) to a microprocessor.

Regarding claims 4 and 42, Qin et al. (Fig. 1) discloses the claimed said method of claims 3 and 41, further comprising sensing said electrical parameters with a sensor (col. 3, II. 1-4), communicating signals representative of said electrical parameters (col. 2, II. 23-32) to a module (22-28), and communicating said signals to said microcomputer (20), wherein said module, said sensor and said microcomputer are communicatively coupled over said network (col. 1, II. 13-16).

Regarding claims 5 and 37, Qin et al. discloses the claimed said method of claims 1 and 32, further comprising: monitoring a topology of the circuit, said topology being based at least in part upon a status for each of the power switching devices in the circuit, said status being either opened or closed; defining said zone of protection based at least in part upon said topology, and adjusting said zone of protection based at least in part upon changes to said topology (col. 4, Il. 58-64; col. 3, Il. 33-40).

Regarding claims 6 and 33, Qin et al. discloses the claimed said method of claims 1 and 32, wherein the step of defining said characteristics comprises defining a

Application/Control Number: 10/662,971

Art Unit: 2836

plurality of combinations of states of the power switching devices in said zone of protection, each of said states being opened or closed (col. 4, II. 58-64).

Regarding claims 7 and 34, Qin et al. discloses the claimed said method of claims 6 and 33, wherein the step of defining said characteristics further comprises defining power flow configurations for said zone of protection based upon said plurality of combinations of said states of the power switching devices in said zone of protection (col. 3, II. 41-52).

Regarding claim 8, Qin et al. discloses the claimed said method of claim 7, further comprising: defining a definition matrix (col. 6, II. 60-61; col. 8, II. 17-22) based at least in part upon said power flow configurations; and defining said protection matrix (Figs. 9-11 and col. 8, II. 23-38) based at least in part upon said definition matrix.

Regarding claim 9, Qin et al. discloses the claimed said method of claim 6, further comprising: defining a zone state matrix (col. 6, II. 54-61) based upon said plurality of combinations of said states of the power switching devices in said zone of protection (col. 3, II. 41-52); and defining said protection matrix based at least in part upon said zone state matrix (col. 6, 61-67).

Regarding claims 10 and 43, Qin et al. discloses the claimed said method of claims 6 and 32, further comprising opening at least one of the power switching devices in said zone of protection based upon said protection function (col. 8, II. 10-17).

Regarding claims 11 and 40, Qin et al. discloses the claimed said method of claims 10 and 39, wherein a microprocessor is configured to operate each of the power switching devices in the circuit (col. 7, 60-65).

Regarding claim 32, Qin et al. (Fig. 1) discloses the claimed said protection system for coupling a circuit having power switching devise and a zone of protection, the system comprising: a control processing unit (20) being communicatively couplable to the power switching devices (30-36) so that said control processing unit can perform a zone protective function on said zone of protection based at least in part upon characteristics of said zone of protection (col. 3, II. 60-67), said characteristics being actual and possible characteristics (col. 2, II. 24-33), wherein said control processing unit (20) utilizes a protection matrix to perform said zone protective function (abstract), said protection matrix being defined at least in part by said characteristics of said zone of protection, and wherein said protection matrix comprises a matrix of protection coefficients used by said zone protective function (col. 6, II. 51-64).

Regarding claim 35 Qin et al. (Fig. 1) discloses the claimed said system of claim 32, wherein said control processing unit (20) defines said zone of protection (col. 2, II. 27-32).

Regarding claim 36, Qin et al. discloses the claimed said method of claim 35, wherein said zone of protection is dynamic (col. 3, II. 34-38; col. 4, II. 61-64).

Regarding claim 41, Qin et al. (Fig. 1) discloses the claimed said system of claim 39, wherein said control processing unit (20) receives parameter signals representative of electrical parameters of the circuit, and wherein said control processing unit opens the power switching devices if a fault is detected in the circuit (col. 7, II. 60-67 and col. 8, II. 1-3).

Application/Control Number: 10/662,971 Page 6

Art Unit: 2836

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 12-21,44, 46-57, and 59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Qin et al. (US 6,411,865) and in view of Matsko et al. (US 5,875,088).

Regarding claim 12, Qin et al. (Figs. 9-11) discloses the claimed method of protecting a circuit having power switching devices, the method comprising: a defining characteristics of a zone of protection of the circuit; defining a protection matrix based at least in part upon said characteristics; and performing a zone protective function on said zone of protection using said protection matrix (Abstract and col. 8, II. 23-38).

Qin et al. does not disclose a dynamic delay time for opening said at least one of the power switching devices; and opening said at least one of the power switching devices after said dynamic time has elapsed.

However, Matsko et al. discloses a dynamic delay time (col. 1, II. 27-64 and col. 2., II. 17-25 and II. 41 thru col. 3, II. 1-4) for opening said at least one of the switching devices; and opening said at least one of the power switching devise after said dynamic time has elapsed.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a delay time for opening and closing separable contacts for a circuit breaker to improve zone interlocks for electrical switching devices (Matsko et al., col. 3, II. 39-40).

Regarding claim 13, Qin et al. in view of Matsko et al. discloses the claimed said method of protecting a circuit having switching devices, the method comprising: defining a plurality of combinations of states of devices disposed in a zone of protection of the circuit, each of said states being either opened or closed (Qin et al., col. 4, II. 58-64); defining characteristics of said zone of protection based at least in part upon said plurality of combinations of said states of the power switching devices disposed in said zone of protection, said characteristics being the actual and possible characteristics (Qin et al., col. 3, II. 41-52); and performing a zone protective function on said zone of protection based at least in part upon said characteristics (Qin et al., abstract); determining a dynamic delay time (Matsko et al., col. 1, II. 27-45 and col. 2., II. 41 thru col. 3, II. 14) for opening said at least one of the switching devices; and opening said at least one of the power switching devise after said dynamic time has elapsed.

Regarding claim 14, Qin et al. in view of Matsko et al. discloses the claimed said method of claim 13, wherein said zone of protection is dynamic (Qin et al., col. 3, II. 34-38; col. 4, II. 61-64).

Regarding claim 15, Qin et al. in view of Matsko et al. discloses the claimed said method of claim 13, wherein the step of defining said characteristics further comprises defining power flow configurations for said zone of protection based upon said plurality

of combinations of said states of the power switching devices in said zone of protection (Qin et al., col. 3, II. 41-52).

Regarding claim 16, Qin et al. in view of Matsko et al. discloses the claimed said method of claim 13, wherein said zone protective functions is a plurality of zone protective functions, each of said plurality of zone protective functions being performed on said zone of protection based at least in part upon said protection matrix (Qin et al., col. 3, Il. 41-52).

Regarding claim 17, Qin et al. in view of Matsko et al. discloses the claimed said method of claim 13, wherein said zone protective is based at least in part upon electrical parameters (Qin et al., col. 2.II. 23-32) of said zone of protection, said electrical parameter being communicated over a network (Qin et al., col. 1, II. 13-16) to a microprocessor.

Regarding claim 18, Qin et al. in view of Matsko et al. discloses the claimed said method of claim 17, further comprising sensing said electrical parameters with a sensor (Qin et al., col. 3, II. 1-4), communicating signals representative of said electrical parameters (Qin et al., col. 2, II. 23-32) to a module (Qin et al., Fig. 1, 22-28), and communicating said signals to said microcomputer (Qin et al., Fig. 1, 20), wherein said module, said sensor and said microcomputer are communicatively coupled over said network (Qin et al., col. 1, II. 13-16).

Regarding claim 19, Qin et al. in view of Matsko et al. discloses the claimed said method of claim 13, further comprising: monitoring a topology of the circuit, said topology being based at least in part upon a status for each of the power switching

devices in the circuit, said status being either opened or closed; defining said zone of protection based at least in part upon said topology, and adjusting said zone of protection based at least in part upon changes to said topology (Qin et al., col. 4, Il. 58-64; col. 3, II. 33-40).

Page 9

Regarding claim 20, Qin et al. in view of Matsko et al. discloses the claimed said method of claim 13, further comprising opening at least one of the power switching devices in said zone of protection based upon said protection function (Qin et al., col. 8, II. 10-17).

Regarding claim 21, Qin et al. in view of Matsko et al. discloses the claimed said method of claim 20, wherein a microprocessor is configured to operate each of the power switching devices in the circuit (Qin et al., col. 7, 60-65).

Regarding claim 44, Qin et al. in view of Matsko et al. discloses the claimed said method of claim 32, wherein said control processing unit (Qin et al., Fig. 1, 20) determines a dynamic delay time (Matsko et al., col. 1, II. 27-45) for opening at least one of the power switching devices (Qin et al., Fig. 1, 30-36), and wherein said at least one of the power switching devices (Qin et al., col. 8, II. 10-17), and wherein said at least one of the power switching devices is opened after said dynamic delay time has elapsed (Matsko et al., col. 1, II. 27-45).

Regarding claim 46, Qin et al. In view of Matsko et al. discloses a power distribution system comprising: a circuit having power switching devise (Qin et al., Fig. 1, 30-36) and a zone of protection (abstract), the system comprising: a control processing unit (Qin et al., Fig. 1, 20) being communicatively couple to the power

switching devices (Qin et al., Fig. 1, 30-36) so that said control processing unit can perform a zone protective function on said zone of protection based at least in part upon characteristics of said zone of protection (Qin et al., col. 3, II. 60-67), said characteristics being actual and possible characteristics (Qin et al., col. 2, II. 24-33), wherein said control processing unit (Qin et al., Fig. 1, 20) determines a dynamic delay time (Matsko et al., col. 1, II. 27-45) for opening at least one of said power switching devices(Qin et al., Fig. 1, 30-36), and wherein said at least one of said power switching devices is opened after said dynamic delay time has elapsed (Matsko et al., col. 1, II. 27-45).

Regarding claim 47, Qin et al. in view of Matsko et al. discloses the claimed said method of claim 46, wherein the step of defining said characteristics comprises defining a plurality of combinations of states of the power switching devices in said zone of protection, each of said states being opened or closed (Qin et al., col. 4, II. 58-64).

Regarding claim 48, Qin et al. in view of Matsko et al. discloses the claimed said method of claim 46, wherein the step of defining said characteristics further comprises defining power flow configurations for said zone of protection based upon said plurality of combinations of said states of the power switching devices in said zone of protection (Qin et al., col. 3, II. 41-52).

Regarding claim 49, Qin et al. in view of Matsko et al. discloses the claimed said system of claim 46, wherein said control processing unit (Qin et al, Fig. 1, 20) defines said zone of protection (Qin et al., col. 2, II. 27-32).

Regarding claim 50, Qin et al. in view of Matsko et al. discloses the claimed said method of claim 49, wherein said zone of protection is dynamic (Qin et al., col. 3, Il. 34-38; col. 4, II. 61-64).

Regarding claim 51, Qin et al. in view of Matsko et al. discloses the claimed said method of claim 46 further comprising: monitoring a topology of the circuit, said topology being based at least in part upon a status for each of the power switching devices in the circuit, said status being either opened or closed; defining said zone of protection based at least in part upon said topology, and adjusting said zone of protection based at least in part upon changes to said topology (Qin et al., col. 4, Il. 58-64; col. 3, II. 33-40).

Regarding claim 52, Qin et al. in view of Matsko et al. discloses the claimed said method of claim 46, wherein said zone protective functions is a plurality of zone protective functions, each of said plurality of zone protective functions being performed on said zone of protection based at least in part upon said protection matrix (Qin et al., al., col. 3, II. 41-52).

Regarding claim 53, Qin et al. in view of Matsko et al. discloses the claimed said method of claim 46, wherein a microprocessor is configured to operate each of the power switching devices in the circuit (Qin et al., col. 7, 60-65).

Regarding claim 54, Qin et al. in view of Matsko et al. discloses the claimed said method of claim 46, wherein said zone protective is based at least in part upon electrical parameters (Qin et al., col. 2,II. 23-32) of said zone of protection, said electrical

parameter being communicated over a network (Qin et al., col. 1, ll. 13-16) to a microprocessor.

Regarding claim 55, Qin et al. in view of Matsko et al. discloses the claimed said system of claim 54, wherein said control processing unit (Qin et al., Fig. 1, 20) receives parameter signals representative of electrical parameters of the circuit, and wherein said control processing unit opens the power switching devices if a fault is detected in the circuit (Qin et al., col. 7, II. 60-67 and col. 8, II. 1-3).

Regarding claim 56, Qin et al. in view of Matsko et al. discloses the claimed said method of claim 55, further comprising sensing said electrical parameters with a sensor (Qin et al., col. 3, II. 1-4), communicating signals representative of said electrical parameters (col. 2, II. 23-32) to a module (Qin et al., Fig. 1, 22-28), and communicating said signals to said microcomputer (Qin et al., Fig. 1, 20), wherein said module, said sensor and said microcomputer are communicatively coupled over said network (Qin et al., col. 1, II. 13-16).

Regarding claim 57,Qin et al. in view of Matsko et al. discloses the claimed said method of claim 46, further comprising opening at least one of the power switching devices in said zone of protection based upon said protection function (Qin et al., col. 8, ll. 10-17).

Regarding claim 59, Qin et al. in view of Matsko et al. discloses the claimed said system of claim 46, wherein said control processing unit (Qin et al., Fig. 1, 20) utilizes a protection matrix (Qin et al., col. 2, II. 26-32), said protection matrix being defined at least in part by said characteristic of said zone of protection (Qin et al., col. 8, II. 23-38).

Application/Control Number: 10/662,971 Page 13

Art Unit: 2836

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-11 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

- 3. Applicant's argues that Qin does not disclose a matrix of protection coefficients. Examiner disagrees since, Qin discloses a protective matrix comprising a matrix of protection coefficients used by said zone protective function (col. 6, II. 51-64). The merely difference in the name value stored in the matrix does not distinguish the claim from what is disclosed in Qin. Applicant's has to define the protection coefficients used by the zone protective function to overcome the Qin reference.
- 4. Applicant's argues that Matsko et al. does not disclose a dynamic delay time. Examiner disagrees with this assessment. Matsko et al. discloses a variable delay time (col. 1, II. 27-60 and col. 2., II. 17-25) employed by a microprocessor to detect overcurrent trip conditions. Matsko et al. variable delay time changes, thus is dynamic and meets the claimed "dynamic delay time" limitation.
- 5. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, the motivation

was found in Matsko et al. (col. 3, II. 32-40). Further, Matsko et al. discloses overcurrent protection devices are needed (.e. relatively high level short circuits or fault condition) in the main bus line or to a number of additional buses, which in turn, energize a plurality of distribution circuits and these overcurrent/time characteristics (i.e. variable delay times) are coordinated through a hieratical arrangement that only the closet protection device above the fault trips to minimize the interruption to service in the distribution system, in which zone interlocks are installed (col. 2, II. 40-64). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine these references because both references teach zone protection for power distribution systems.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/662,971 Page 15

Art Unit: 2836

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Terrence R. Willoughby whose telephone number is 571-272-2725. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext. 36. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

1/22/07 TRW

> STEPHEN W. JACKSON FRIMARY EXAMINER

Stephen Wackson